



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,604	07/27/2006	Petrus Maria De Greef	NL040106US1	3561
65913	7550	12/26/2008	EXAMINER	
NXP, B.V. NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY DRIVE SAN JOSE, CA 95131			MARTELLO, EDWARD	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2628	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/26/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/587,604

Applicant(s)

DE GREEF, PETRUS MARIA

Examiner

Edward Martello

Art Unit

2628

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 September 2008.
2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.
7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 11 September 2008 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/5508)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is responsive to the amendments received September 11, 2008.
2. The amendment to the specification is accepted and entered into the record and the objection to the specification is hereby withdrawn.
3. The replacement drawing set has been accepted and entered into the record. The objections to the drawings are hereby withdrawn.
4. Claims 1 and 2 are amended and claim 3-11 are as previously presented. Currently, claims 1-11 are pending for prosecution.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-4 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schiefer et al. (European Patent Application Publication EP 0 875 882 A2, hereafter, '882).
6. Regarding claim 1 (Currently Amended), Schiefer teaches a display method comprising: generating images comprising source data (input video; '882; fig. 1 & 2; col. 10, ln. 14-26) and source frame (vertical) synchronization instants (IPVSYNC) ~~having~~ having a source frame rate, storing the source data in a frame memory (memory, '882; fig. 3; col. 11, ln. 51-58) under control of a first address pointer (write counter) having a start address being determined by the source frame synchronization instants (IPVSYNC) ('882; col. 13, ln. 13-39), reading during a read period display data from the memory under control of a second address pointer having a

start address being determined by display frame synchronization instants (DVSYNC) having a display frame rate ('882; col. 14, ln. 5-35), displaying the display data on a matrix display ('882; fig. 2) and controlling the source frame rate or the display frame rate to obtain, in a stable situation (display synchronizer; '882; col. 17, ln. 50-58, col. 18, ln. 1-10), the first address pointer and the second address pointer starting with an offset in time which has a fixed polarity during the read period ('882; col. 17, ln. 12-20) and a ratio of two between the display frame rate and the source frame rate ("882; fig. 11-15, DCLK = 2*IPCLK, col. 21, ln. 15-20).

7. In regards to claim 2 (Currently Amended), Schiefer teaches a display system comprising: a video source for generating images comprising source data (input video; '882; fig. 1 & 2; col. 10, ln. 14-26) and source frame (vertical) synchronization instants (IPVSYNC), having a source frame rate, means for storing the source data in a frame memory (memory, '882; fig. 3; col. 11, ln. 51-58) under control of a first address pointer (write counter) having a start address being determined by the source frame synchronization instants (IPVSYNC) ('882; col. 13, ln. 13-39); means for reading during a read period display data from the memory under control of a second address pointer (read counter) having a start address being determined by display frame synchronization instants (DVSYNC) having a display frame rate ('882; col. 14, ln. 5-35), means for displaying the display data on a matrix display ('882; fig. 2) and means for controlling the source frame rate or the display frame rate to obtain, in a stable situation (display synchronizer; '882; col. 17, ln. 50-58, col. 18, ln. 1-10), the first address pointer and the second address pointer starting with an offset in time which has a fixed polarity during the read period ('882; col. 17, ln. 12-20) and a ratio of two between the display frame rate and the source frame rate ("882; fig. 11-15, DCLK = 2*IPCLK, col. 21, ln. 15-20).

8. Regarding claim 3 (Previously Presented), Schiefer further teaches a display system wherein the means for controlling comprise: means for comparing the source frame synchronization instants (IPVSYNC) and the display synchronization instants (DVSYNC) or signals related thereto ('882; fig. 13; col. 21, ln. 15-34), and means for adapting the source frame rate or the display frame rate in response to the comparing to obtain the second pointer always lagging ("882; fig. 13, DTGRUN signal) the first pointer during the read period in times or the other way around ('882; fig. 13; col. 21, ln. 15-34).

9. Regarding claim 4 (Previously Presented), Schiefer further teaches a display system wherein the means for controlling comprise: means for determining the offset in time between one of the source frame synchronization instants (IPVSYNC) and one of the display frame synchronization instants (DVSYNC) succeeding each other("882; col. 21, ln. 34-46), and means for adapting the source frame rate or the display frame rate to obtain a substantially identical source frame rate and display frame rate ('882; col. 21, ln. 34-46); and a predetermined fixed value of the offset in time ('882; fig. 13, DTGRUN signal).

10. In regards to claim 6 (Previously Presented), Schiefer further teaches a display system as claimed in claim 2, wherein the means for displaying the display data further comprise: means for generating a clock signal (DCLK; '882; fig.7), and means for generating the display frame synchronization instants using the clock signal (DVSYNC; '882, fig. 10); and wherein the means for controlling the display frame rate comprise means for adapting a frequency of the clock signal ('882; col. 15, ln. 29-37; col. 20, ln. 9-14).

11. Regarding claim 7 (Previously Presented), Schiefer further teaches a display system wherein the means for displaying the display data further comprise: means for generating a clock

signal (DCLK; '882; fig.7), means for generating line instants indicating a start of the lines of the display data using the clock signal (DHSYNC; '882; fig. 9), the line instants (DHSYNC) determining line periods, and means for generating the display frame synchronization instants (DVSYNC) using the line instants (DHSYNC) ('882; col. 21, ln. 23-28), and wherein the means for controlling the display frame rate comprise means for adapting a frequency of the clock signal to vary a duration of the line periods ('882; col. 15, ln. 29-37; col. 20, ln. 9-14).

12. In regards to claim 8 (Previously Presented), Schiefer further teaches a display system wherein the means for displaying the display data further comprise: means for generating a clock signal (DCLK; '882; fig.7), means for generating line instants indicating a start of the lines of the display data by counting the clock signal (DHSYNC; '882; fig. 9), the line instants determining line periods, and means for generating the display frame synchronization instants (DVSYNC) using the line instants ('882; col. 21, ln. 23-28), and wherein the means for controlling the display frame rate comprise means for adapting the line periods by varying a number of clock pulses of the clock signal to be counted ('882; col. 22, ln. 9-35).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

13. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schiefer et al.

(European Patent Application Publication EP 0 875 882 A2, hereafter, '882).

14. Regarding claim 5 (Previously Presented), Schiefer teaches a display system as claimed in claim 4 but does not teach wherein the means for adapting are arranged to obtain the offset in time between the first pointer and the second pointer being substantially equal to half a source write period, the source write period being the period in time required for the storing of the source data of one source frame of the source data. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the means for adapting are arranged to obtain the offset in time between the first pointer and the second pointer being substantially equal to half a source write period, the source write period being the period in time required for the storing of the source data of one source frame of the source data for the benefit of maintaining the optimum buffer fill to allow the most overrun and under run protection to handle short term variations in input video and output display timing that may occur in any system operating over a range of environmental conditions such as temperature, voltage, etc.

15. Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schiefer et al. (European Patent Application Publication EP 0 875 882 A2, hereafter, '882) as applied to claims 1-8 above, and further in view of Chen et al. (U. S. Patent Application 2003/0164897 A1, hereafter '897).

16. Regarding claim 9 (Previously Presented), Schiefer teaches a display system method as claimed in claim 2 but does not teach wherein a display frame period has a duration being an inverse of the display frame rate and comprises the means for read period and an idle period, wherein during the read period, the means for reading are arranged for reading the display data from the memory under control of the second address pointer, and wherein during the idle period no display data is read from the memory and wherein the means for controlling the display frame rate comprises means for varying the idle time. Chen, working in the same field of endeavor, however, teaches wherein a display frame period has a duration being an inverse of the display frame rate and comprises the means for read period and an idle period (current line delay), wherein during the read period, the means for reading are arranged for reading the display data from the memory under control of the second address pointer (output x & y counters), and wherein during the idle period (current line delay) no display data is read from the memory (outputs blank lines) and wherein the means for controlling the display frame rate comprises means for varying the idle time ('897; fig. 7; ¶ 0124) for the benefit of synchronizing video image input and display image output frame rates without adjusting either the input or output video clock frequencies thereby simplifying overall clock circuit design and lowering cost. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Schiefer and Chen to produce a method that controls the output video idle period to synchronize the video output frame rate to the video input frame rate with the benefit of not adjusting either of the input or output video clock frequencies thus simplifying overall clock circuit design and lowering cost.

17. In regards to claim 10 (Previously Presented), Chen further teaches wherein the means for controlling comprise: means for determining the offset in time, and means for adapting the display frame rate to obtain a display frame rate being substantially identical to two times the source frame rate and to obtain a predetermined fixed offset in time, by having (i) the second pointer (output x & y counters) pointing to a first source video line of an already stored source video frame at an instant preceding the instant the first pointer (input x & y counters) is pointing to a first source video line a next source video frame to read the first source video line before the first source video line of the next source video frame is stored, and (ii) the second pointer (output x & y counters) pointing to a last source video line of the next source video frame at an instant later than an instant the first pointer (input x & y counters) is pointing to the last source video line of the next source video frame to read the last source video line of the next source video frame after it has been stored ('897; fig. 8-9, ¶ 0127-0128).

18. Regarding claim 11 (Previously Presented), Chen further teaches a display system wherein a display frame period has a duration being an inverse of the display frame rate and comprises the read period and an idle period, wherein during the read period, the means for reading are arranged for reading the display data from the memory under control of the second address pointer (output x & y counters), and wherein during the idle period no display data is read from the memory (outputs blank lines) and wherein the means for controlling comprise: means for setting a free running display frame rate to a value lower than the value of the source display frame rate wherein a duration of the read period is shorter than a source frame period ('897; ¶ 0115), and means for restarting the display frame periods in response to received source (input vertical) synchronization instants ('897; fig. 3, ¶ 0117).

Response to Arguments

19. Applicant's arguments filed 27 August 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
20. The Examiner respectfully disagrees with the analysis of the buffer memory and write read pointer with respect claim 1. The address counters of Shiefer are the address pointers of the instant application. These terms are often used interchangeably in the art. Hardware people tend to think counters and software types think in terms of pointers. Shiefer does specify the elements of claim 1 as cited above. There is a write counter that is initialized at the star of the frame and is used to point to the location to allow writes of frame data into the buffer at the input frame rate and reading the buffer is held off until it is half full at which time the display is allowed to read data out at its rate from the beginning of the buffer using the read counter which is initialized at the beginning of the read out frame and is the pointer that is used to address the fetch of the data for each request. The Examiner respectfully disagrees with the analysis of the of the how the frame rates are set and controlled. While Shiefer does offer four different methods to control the input and output frame rates, The Examiner believes that the appropriate modes were selected in each of the cases required in the rejection of the claims above.
21. The Abstract of the '882 patent application provides a good overall view of the invention and figure 4 shows a block diagram of the embodiment where the input and output rates are decoupled by the display clock generator, element 420. Again, the Examiner believes the cited sections in the claim rejection above do indeed read and indicated upon the instant application.
22. In regard to claim 5, the '882 controls of the write prefill while holding off the display read until enough data is accumulated and this is set in '882 by the microcontroller and adjusted

to maintain an average amount of data to equally prevent an under flow or over write condition which is approximately half the buffer memory size. In some cases, it might be desirable fully fill the memory if the readout time is much faster than the write or fill time. The Display Processor Description section on page 8 of the '882 document provides a good description of the various modes of running the buffer depending on the input versus output rates of a particular video equipment installation.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward Martello whose telephone number is (571) 270-1883. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Xiao Wu can be reached on (571) 272-7761. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/EM/
Examiner, Art Unit 2628

/XIAO M. WU/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2628